(3)

SINGLE BENCH

C. 8.300/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G)

Writ Petition NO 3651 /2005

PETITIONERS

1. Smt Reena Be Deniel, aged about 39 W/o Visnoi C. Deniel, Upper Divisional Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Avashpara Block- Charama, Dist- Baster (C.G)

2. Smt. Rajkumari Mesh Ram, aged about 52 year, w/o Gand lal Mesh Ram , Upper Divisional Teacher, Govt. Primary School Avashparakrra, Block- Charama, Dist. Baster (C.G)

3. Gand Lal Mesh Ram, aged about 57Years S/oBablu Mesh Ram, Govt. Primary School Babu Mohan, Block-Charama Dist. Baster (C.G)

P.R. No. 3751/05 Presented in Court by Rukesh Antony dated 2/12/05

RECEIVED-COPY 02/08/05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Though the Secretary Department of School Education, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G)

2. The Commissioner, Adim Jhati (S.T.S.C) Department Raipur (C.G)

3 The Assistant Commissioner, Adim Jhati (S.T.S.C) Department Kanker Dist- Baster (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3651 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10.08.2005

Shri Rakesh Antony, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are working as Upper Division Teacher in various schools in District-Bastar. He also submits that they have successfully completed their B.T.I. training during the tenure of their services and on account of certain circular of the State Government they are entitled to advance increments in pay. He further submits that the petitioners and some other persons total 21 in Nos. had earlier filed an original application before the State Administrative Tribunal for the similar reliefs namely O.A. No. 991/2001 (Ashok Kumar & 20 others Vs. State of C.G. & others). He also submits that the record of original application has been received by this Court after abolishing of the S.A.T. but it has not been registered, therefore, these 3 persons/petitioners amongst petitioners in the said original application has filed this writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes that the names of the these 3 persons/petitioners shall be deleted from the said original application, after the same shall be registered and listed for hearing before this Court and the facts regarding passing of order in this writ petition shall be brought to the notice of judge

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3651 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

hearing the said original application No. 991/2001. For the time being, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority of the respondents and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of the representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the State.

The prayer is allowed.

The petitioners are allowed to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority of the respondents within a period of 3 weeks. from today along with copy of this order and copy of writ petition and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall be obliged to dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the said representation.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vatti